

Tammy Cloud-McMinn

From: Steve Linke <splinke@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 1:42 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Addendum to correspondence for 6/9/2020 City Council Item #8

Please distribute this addendum to my previous letter on Item #8 of the 6/9/2020 City Council agenda

Mayor and Councilmembers:

Based upon further review today of public records of past street facility deficiencies, I believe that council can address the current deficiencies without invoking any GMP exemptions by simply adopting a resolution that appropriates funds for the initial engineering study of the College Boulevard extension.

The El Camino Real/Faraday Avenue intersection failed the LOS performance standard in 1997, and there was an improvement project to address the deficiency. In that case, council declared the intersection in compliance with the GMP simply by adopting a resolution that (1) appropriated funds for the design study for the improvement project and (2) directed staff to carry out the project, which was described in general terms in an attached memorandum. The Agenda Bill stated that additional funds to cover the eventual construction costs would be appropriated at a future date (Agenda Bill 14,696, 6/2/1998).

In the current case, council has already directed staff to pursue city-led financing of the College extension project with a corresponding amendment to the LFMZ 15 plan, and the engineering study was discussed as the first step. There is already \$1.2 million earmarked for the study, and there are certainly additional funds available, if necessary (e.g., TransNet, up to a \$1 million loan from the General Fund, Traffic Impact Fee funds, gas tax funds, etc.).

Optionally, the College extension portions of the LFMZ 15 plan also could be amended immediately to say that the city is committing to upfront funding of at least the first two lanes, with developers being required to pay their proportionate shares of those lanes, grading, frontages, two additional lanes, etc. to be determined in the future. That is essentially how the LFMZs were written for the construction of some parts of Palomar Airport Road. The GMP gives council the power to create LFMZ plans when developers fail to do so.

Thus, following these past precedents will satisfy the GMP Section 21.90.080 requirement, "arrangements satisfactory to the city council guaranteeing the facilities and improvements," without the need for exemptions.

Best regards,
Steve Linke
Carlsbad

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.