

Mia De Marzo

All Receive - Agenda Item # 9

For the Information of the:

CITY COUNCIL

Date 4/6/21 CA CC

CM ACM DCM (3)

From: Maril Adrian <mariladrian@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 9:27 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Please read the following statement into the proceedings of the City Council Meeting on April 6.

Agenda Item 9:

It is absolutely inconceivable to me that the taxpayers of Carlsbad should pay court costs for Ms. Schumacher who initiated another civil harassment restraining order against Carlsbad residents for exercising their rights to free speech. The court has denied her most recent petition; however, it required the services of an attorney to file an Anti-Slapp motion on behalf of Mr. Breen. Ms. Schumacher's behavior as a sitting member of the Carlsbad City Council has been questionable at best and as a public servant should expect to have her actions and her voting record examined and critiqued by the public. Her recent motion to hire private security to fine Carlsbad small business owners is another example of her disdain for the public she should be representing.

Maril Adrian
Carlsbad, CA

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mia De Marzo

From: Sheila Cobian
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Tammy Cloud-McMinn; Mia De Marzo; Hector Gomez
Subject: FW: Question regarding agenda item 9

Correspondence for distribution relating to Item 9

From: Celia Brewer <Celia.Brewer@carlsbadca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Sheila Cobian <Sheila.Cobian@carlsbadca.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Question regarding agenda item 9

For the record.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tony Bona <tonybonafide@gmail.com>
Subject: Question regarding agenda item 9
Date: April 6, 2021 at 9:05:23 AM PDT
To: Scott Chadwick <scott.chadwick@carlsbadca.gov>, Celia Brewer <celia.brewer@carlsbadca.gov>, Matt Hall <mhall4046@gmail.com>

Hello - I have a question on agenda item #9 for tonight's council meeting.

Bullet point 3

3. Insulting, demeaning, intimidating or offensive communications will not be tolerated

Can you please direct me to the full analysis that went into adding this verbiage as I couldn't find it in the 700+ page agenda packet.

--

Thank you,

Tony

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.